

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/076,667	Applicant(s) FLEEGAL, ERIC B.	
	Examiner Eric B. Kiss	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

- (1) Eric B. Kiss.
- (2) Allan T. Sponseller (Reg. No. 38,318).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 May 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 38, 41-47, 55

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amendments to the claims to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 101 and to place the instant application in clear condition for allowance. Specifically, the examiner proposed replacing instances of "computer readable medium" (described in the specification as including communication media such as signals encoded with functional descriptive material) with "computer storage medium" (described in the specification as distinct from the communication media); amending claim 13 to include the limitations in claim 14 to alleviate concerns about the scope of claim 13, being broader in scope than (and thus not necessarily requiring) the actual execution of instructions on one or more processors; and the cancellation of claims 38 and 41-47 directed merely to data structures on media and not limited to a practical application producing a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Mr. Sponseller authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating all of the proposed changes..